WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No OA - 118 of 2017

Moumita Mondal -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.				
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature		
1	2	of parties when necessary 3		
9 18.04.2019	For the Applicant : Mr. S.K. Mondal, Advocate.			
	For the Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.			
	The instant application has been filed praying for			
	the following relief:			
	"(i) Directing the respondent authorities			
	concerned, each one of them, their men,			
	agents, servants, subordinates and/or			
	assigns to forthwith			
	rescind/withdraw/cancel the Order of			
	Suspension dated September 1, 2016 under			
	No-C/399 issued by the Director of Health			
	Services, West Bengal, being the			
	respondent no. 3 herein.			
	(ii) Directing the respondent authorities			
	concerned, each of them, their men,			
	agents., servants, subordinates and/or			
	assigns to forthwith allow the applicant			
	to resume her official duty as a Staff			
	Nurse at Basantia Block Primary Health			
	Centre and also release the salary, service			

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Moumita Mondal

Case No. OA - 118 of 2017

Case No. <u>OA - 118</u>	<u>of 2017</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	benefits accrued during her period of	
	suspension.	
	(iii) Rule Nisi in terms of prayers (i) and	
	(ii) as above.	
	(iv) Any other or further order or orders	
	and/or direction or directions as this	
	Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and	
	proper.	
	(v) Leave may be granted to move this	
	application."	
	As per the applicant, while she was working as Staff	
	Nurse Grade – II, at Basantia Block Primary Health Centre	
	on the basis of a complaint lodged by one Bhim Charan	
	Mondal i.e. father-in-law of the applicant under Sections	
	306/34 of the India Penal Code, 1860, which resulted in to	
	Contai Police Station Case No. 118 of 2016. She was	
	arrested on such complaint on 1st July, 2016 and was	
	detained in custody for a period of exceeding 48 hours and	
	subsequently was discharged on bail on 8 th September, 2016.	
	Even no disciplinary proceeding has yet been initiated till	
	date. However, the respondent vide their order dated	
	01.09.2016 (Annexure-D) put her under suspension under	
	Rule 7, Sub-Rule (3) Clause (a) of West Bengal Services	

Moumita Mondal

Form No.	
FOITH NO.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
т	(Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1971. But till	3
	date, neither her suspension has been reviewed nor revoked	
	,	
	by the department though she had made representation to	
	that effect before the authority. Even no review has been	
	made in the mean time after a long three years also. Being	
	aggrieved with, she has filed the instant application.	
	During the course of the hearing, the counsel for the	
	applicant had vehemently submitted that she was arrested	
	on a private dispute which has no relation with the official	
	work of the applicant. Further, she was not in a position to	
	influence any of the witnesses. Therefore, such prolonged	
	suspension even without reviewing the same is illegal and	
	bad in law.	
	Though the respondents have not filed any reply.	
	However, the counsel for the respondent has submitted that	
	the applicant had already filed one application before the	
	authority which was subsequently forwarded by the	
	, 6	
	communication dated 14.12.2016 (Annexure-F) and still	
	pending before the competent authority. They are ready to	
	consider the matter as per Rules and Law. The counsel for	
	the applicant has submitted that it would suffice her purpose	

Moumita Mondal

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 118 of 2017</u>

Case No. <u>OA - 118</u>		Office and a substant
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	if the respondent would be directed to review the case of the	3
	applicant in the light of the fact of this case within a	
	stipulated period of time.	
	We have heard both the parties and perused the	
	records. It is noted that the applicant was arrested on a	
	private dispute, which had no relation with her official	
	capacity and she being a Staff Nurse was not in a position to	
	influence the witnesses or the criminal process because	
	there is no disciplinary proceedings against her. Further,	
	keeping a person under suspension without taking her	
	service with subsistence allowances will not serve the	
	interest of the respondents by not utilising her service.	
	Therefore, as the respondents are ready to review the matter	
	of the applicant in proper prospect, the Director of Health	
	Services i.e. respondent No. 2 is directed to review the case	
	of the applicant in the proper perspect taking into account	
	the facts and circumstances of the case and to take decision	
	and communicate the same within a period of eight weeks	
	from the date of receipt of the issue. Accordingly, the O.A.	
	is disposed of with no order as to cost.	
A.K.P.	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	